2004 Supreme Court Ruling affects ALL physician's assets

(Case No. 02–458. Argued January 13, 2004, Raymond B. Yates MD vs. Hendon)

If you are a physician, to adequately protect your retirement and pension plan, it must follow:

- **29 U. S. C. §1001(b)**
- **Title I, 29 U. S. C. §1001 et seq**
- **Title II, codified in 26 U. S. C., amended various Internal Revenue Code (IRC)**
- **Title III, 29 U. S. C. §1201 et seq**

A recent survey of physician's retirement and pension plans showed that more than ½ were non-compliant.

2004 Supreme Court Ruling affects ALL physician's assets

(Case No. 02–458. Argued January 13, 2004, Raymond B. Yates MD vs. Hendon)

If you are a physician, to adequately protect your retirement and pension plan, it must follow:

- **29 U. S. C. §1001(b)**
- **Title I, 29 U. S. C. §1001 et seq**
- **Title II, codified in 26 U. S. C., amended various Internal Revenue Code (IRC)**
- **Title III, 29 U. S. C. §1201 et seq**

A recent survey of physician's retirement and pension plans showed that more than ½ were non-compliant.

The 2004 Supreme Court ruling highlights the special problems Physician retirement plans face.

These statutes affect physicians in particular due to the heightened litigation risks you incur. Retirement plans not specifically put together for physicians by a specialist often miss the special requirements necessary to protect your retirement plans.

<<firm name>>
<<address>>
<<city>>, <<state>> <<zip>>

<<name>>
<<address>>
<<city>>, <<state>> <<zip>>

The 2004 Supreme Court ruling highlights the special problems Physician retirement plans face.

These statutes affect physicians in particular due to the heightened litigation risks you incur. Retirement plans not specifically put together for physicians by a specialist often miss the special requirements necessary to protect your retirement plans.

<<firm name>>
<<address>>
<<city>>, <<state>> <<zip>>

<<name>>
<<address>>
<<city>>, <<state>> <<zip>>